



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**961 CONT. PETITION NO. 822 OF 2018
IN WP/14910/2017**

MAULANA MOHAMMAD ALI JOHAR EDUCATION SOCIETY
THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT AND OTHERS

VERSUS

SURAJPRASAD PRALHAD JAISWAL AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. V. D. Khivesara h/f Bajaj Anil S. And Manglani Harshita M.,
Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. V. C. Patil h/f Bondar U. B., Advocate for Respondent No.1

....

**CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE AND
ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, JJ.**

DATED : 07/05/2026

P. C. :

Though the learned counsel holding for Mr. Bajaj submits that he has no instructions, but considering the nature of the order under contempt, the proposal for grant of approval must have been decided by passage of time. As such, the contempt petition stands disposed of.

(ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, J.) (SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)