



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**914 CONT. PETITION NO. 815 OF 2018
IN WP/10299/2013**

PRALHAD VITHALRAO ZUNGURE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. C.V.Thombre, Advocate for Petitioner
Mr. S.Y.Mahajan, AGP for Respondents-State
...

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE AND
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 21.11.2018

PER COURT :-

1. Mr. Thombre, the learned counsel at the outset prays for amendment to the petition. The counsel submits that inadvertently the name of the Secretary is not mentioned in the title clause of the Contempt Petition and the Respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4 are added party respondent to the Contempt Petition whereas no directions are issued to these respondents.

2. The learned counsel submits that the mistake occurred due to communication gap and orally prayed for amendment to the petition. At the request of the counsel,



the oral prayer for amendment is allowed. Oral prayer for amendment by way of incorporating the name of Secretary in the title clause and deletion of the other respondents is allowed.

3. The necessary amendment be carried out by tomorrow i.e. on 22/11/2018.

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

(PRASANNA B. VARALE, J.)

...

vmk/-