



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

901 FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.40 OF 2017

AVINASH KUNDLIKRAO JADHAV
VERSUS
BHARTI AVINASH JADHAV

...

Mr. A.P. Ghule Patil, Advocate for appellant – **absent**

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.

DATE : 22nd JANUARY, 2026

ORDER :

. Learned Advocate for the appellant is absent. The matter was taken on board to consider as to whether the appellant is ready to explore the possibility of settlement through mediation. We are aware that in 2018 the matter was referred for mediation and the report of 'failed mediation' has been given on 05.04.2018.

2 Still taking into consideration the time gap, we are of the opinion that in matrimonial matters there is a possibility of settlement through mediation and, therefore, issue notice to the appellant as well as respondent, specifically seeking their willingness as to whether they are willing to go for the mediation. Notices are made returnable on 04.02.2026.

(HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, J.)

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.)

agd