



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 772 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 6173 OF 2012**

Sayyed Istahaq Sayyed Sujad Ali.

Petitioner.

Versus

State of Maharashtra and another.

Respondents.

Mr. Ajinkya Kale, Advocate holding for
Mr. S.B. Talekar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Dande, A.G.P. for respondent/State.

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE &
SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.**

Dated : 30th October 2018.

PER COURT :-

. Mr. Kale, learned Counsel for the petitioner orally prayed for amendment to the petition. Learned Counsel submits that by accepting statement in affidavit-in-reply, the Division Bench of this Court passed an order dated 27.04.2018 in Writ Petition No.6173 of 2012. Learned Counsel then submits that it is the grievance of the petitioner that the order of this Court is not complied with. Learned Counsel, thus, prayed for amendment by way of addition of party respondent No.3 to the petition namely



Mr. Mangesh Damodar Devre, Chief Officer of Municipal Council, Kundalwadi, District Nanded. It is further submitted by the learned Counsel that at the relevant time Mr. Mangesh Devre was occupying the position as Chief Officer of Municipal Council, Kundalwadi. Then the learned Counsel submits that recently respondent No.2 Mr. Gangadhar Shankarrao Pente is in-charge of the affairs of the Municipal Council being Chief Officer. As such, the petitioner has also added respondent No.2 as party respondent No.2 to the petition.

2. In view of these submissions, the oral prayer for amendment to the petition is allowed. Necessary amendment be carried out during the course of the date. On carrying out the amendment during the course of date, issue simple notice to respondent No.2 as well as respondent No.3, making the same returnable after 10 weeks.

(**SUNIL K. KOTWAL**)
JUDGE

(**PRASANNA B. VARALE**)
JUDGE

vdd/