

Madkar

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD***

945. WRIT PETITION No. 11716 of 2018

Kashinath Gangaram Patil Guldagade and another.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others ... Respondents

Mr. M.S. Deshmukh, Advocate for petitioners

Mr S.B. Pulkundwar, Asstt. Govt. Pleader for respondents No.1 to 4

Mr B.R. Kedar, Advocate for respondents No. 5 to 8

Coram : N.M. Jamdar, J.

Date : 24 November 2018.

PER COURT:

1. The learned Counsel for respondents No. 5 to 8 tenders affidavit in reply.
2. At the request of the learned Counsel for respondents, stand over to 12 December 2018.
3. Learned Counsel for the petitioners states that there is an apprehension that, based on the mutation entry, the respondents may claim ownership and create third party rights. His apprehension is unfounded as it is well settled law that mere mutation entry in the revenue records do not confer any title.

N.M. Jamdar, J.