

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.93 OF 2017 IN
WRIT PETITION NO.488 OF 2016

Madhav s/o Nagappa Hanamshetty ... PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & ors. ... RESPONDENTS

.....
Mr. Satish Bhosle, Advocate holding for
Mr. V.D. Salunke, Advocate for petitioner
Shri S.S. Dande, A.G.P. for State
Ms Supriya L. Pansambal, Advocate for respondents No.3 & 4
.....

CORAM: PRASANNA B. VARALE AND
MANISH PITALE, JJ.

DATED : 21st SEPTEMBER, 2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. Ms Supriya L. Pansambal, learned counsel submits that she has instructions to appear on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4 and Vakalatnama is also filed on behalf of these respondents. In view of the statement of the learned counsel, office objection does not survive. Matter to proceed and be posted before this Court in due course.

(MANISH PITALE)
JUDGE

(PRASANNA B. VARALE)
JUDGE

fmp/-