

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

924 CRA NO. 217 OF 2025

MHNOHAR SHRIDHAR APTE (SINCE DIED) THR HIS LEGAL SUMAN  
WD/O MANOHAR APTE AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
RAMESH S/O VITTHALRAO KARANDIKAR AND ANOTHER

...  
Advocate for the Petitioners : Ms. Medha Pramod Patel h/f Mr. Milind  
Madhukar Patil  
Advocate for Respondent No. 1 (Caveator) : Mr. Ramesh Vitthalrao  
Karandikar  
...

CORAM : AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.  
DATE : 17.10.2025

PER COURT :

1. The petitioners have suffered a decree of eviction and concurrent findings by the learned trial Court as also by the learned First Appellate Court. The petitioners are the tenants.
2. The suit for recovery of possession was filed by the present respondents/plaintiffs under Section 16 (1) (g) (h) (n) (2) of the Maharashtra Rent Control Act. After assessing the evidence on record and observing particularly that the plaintiffs have made out a case for recovery of possession on the count of bonafide requirement of the suit properties together with the findings on the issues the learned trial Court decreed the suit of the plaintiffs. The First Appellate Court re-assessed the evidence tendered by the parties in the trial and conclusively arrived

at conclusion that the suit was rightly decreed. As against that the tenant is before this Court in this Civil Revision Application.

4. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 21.11.2025.
5. Learned Advocate h/f Mr. Patil submits that the petitioners have received the copy of the Caveat. A Caveat seems to have been filed but it does not disclose the name of any Advocate.
6. In the mean time, the petitioner may take recourse of private mode of service.
7. Place the matter for further consideration on 21.11.2025.

**( AJIT B. KADETHANKAR )**  
**JUDGE**

mahajansb/