

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 10285 OF 2013**

Mahindra s/o Sadashiv Kamble. ...Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondents  
and others.

.....  
Mr. V.D. Gunale, Advocate for petitioner.  
Mr. D.V. Tele, A.G.P. for respondent Nos. 1 and 2.  
Mr. U.B. Bondar, Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 and 4.  
Mr. S.K. Sawangikar, Advocate for respondent No. 6.  
.....

**CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE ,J.**

**DATED : 28TH JULY, 2014**

**PER COURT :**

. Heard.

2. **Rule.**

3. Learned Counsel waives for respective respondents.

4. After perusal of the order impugned passed by the Additional Commissioner, Aurangabad on 27/08/2012, it is noticed that, order passed by the Additional Collector, Latur on 20/07/2012

disqualifying respondent No.6 from membership is quashed and set aside. It is noticed that respondent-member of Grampanchayat is direct beneficiary of funds of Grampanchayat as he has received the amount through cheque from the accounts of Grampanchayat towards work executed in the village.

5. As Additional Collector has given finding of disqualification against respondent No. 6. In view of above observations, order dated 27/08/2012 passed by the Additional Commissioner, Aurangabad shall remain stayed.

**[ N.W. SAMBRE, J. ]**