



(1)

947-WP-9119-2016

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

947 WRIT PETITION NO. 9119 OF 2016

Shivaji Raghunath Gaikwad

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Another

...

None for the Petitioner.

Mr. N. B. Patil, AGP for Respondent-State.

Mr. Vaibhav P. Deshmukh, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT &

AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, JJ.

DATE : 9th JUNE 2026.

PC :-

1. None was present in the morning for the petitioner. None present even in the second call.
2. The petitioner has approached this Court challenging the allotment of shoplet by the Respondent No.2 – CIDCO. The facts are that the petitioner had paid for allotment of shoplet adjoining to his existing plot. Now, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2-CIDCO informs that already the said plot is allotted to other person, and in that view, nothing remains to be decided in the petition. The person to

whom the shop is allotted is also not made a party to the petition.

3. Considering all above, this Court finds that keeping the petition pending would be of no use. The petition therefore stands disposed off as infructuous.

[AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.]

[KISHORE C. SANT, J.]

D.A.ETHAPE