



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1146 OF 2023

RAM SURYABHAN KHADE AND ANOTHER  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioners : Mr. N. L. Jadhav  
APP for Respondents No.1 and 4 : Mr. A. R. Kale  
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Ajit B. Kadethankar

...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND  
MANJUSA DESHPANDE, JJ.**

**DATE : 15<sup>TH</sup> APRIL 2025**

**ORDER :**

1. We have heard the learned Advocate for the petitioners, the learned A.P.P. for respondents No.1 and 4 and the learned Advocate for respondent No.3 at length.

2. It appears that while placing the affidavit of one Mr. Pramod Dattatraya Kudale who was holding post of Sub-Divisional Officer, Patoda, District Beed, it was stated on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4, however, respondent No.3 is the State Election Commissioner Maharashtra State who is represented by different Advocate. Learned A.P.P. submits that the said affidavit needs to be restricted to on behalf of respondent No.5. In fact, in this matter notice has not been issued to respondent No.5 when, in fact, it was issued to respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4 by order dated

19<sup>th</sup> August 2023. In this matter, it appears that respondent No.4 had ordered an inquiry and the report has also been received. Under such circumstances, we direct respondents No.4 to file affidavit as to whether the said report would be taken to its logical end or not? Such affidavit to be filed on or before 8<sup>th</sup> May 2025. Copy of the same be given to the other side in advance.

3. Place the matter for further consideration on 12<sup>th</sup> June 2025.

[MANJUSHA DESHPANDE]  
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]  
JUDGE

rrd