



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

120 CONT. PETITION NO. 619 OF 2022
IN WP/3723/2018

Anilkumar Kisanlal Nawandar

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Principal Secretary And Others

...

Mr. Baliram B. Shinde, Advocate for the Petitioner

Mr. P. S. Patil, AGP for Respondents/state

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE AND
ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, JJ.

DATED : JANUARY 27, 2026

P.C.:

1. The record shows that the earlier proposal for acquisition of land of the petitioner was to the extent of 1 H 80 R. Accordingly, proposal was also forwarded to Respondent No.4-Executive Engineer, Nandur-Madhmeshwar Irrigation. However, thereafter, it appears that only 1 H 53 R land was proposed to be acquired by private negotiations and consideration for that was given to the petitioner, excluding his demand of acquisition of the remaining 27 R land from Gut No.61.

2. The learned AGP submits that only 1 H 53 R land was required for the canal purpose and therefore that much land was taken by private negotiations leaving the rest of the land of 27 R for the petitioner.

3. However, today Mr. Gade, learned Counsel appearing for

Respondent No.4 is not available, and, therefore, it is difficult to ascertain as to why the remaining area of 27 R was left out from acquisition. In view of the same, stand over to 10.02.2026.

[ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, J.]

[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.]