

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

**940 FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO.24 OF 2019**

MEENA KALYAN SHELKE  
VERSUS  
KALYAN PANDITRAO SHELKE

...  
Advocate for Appellant : Shrimant Mundhe  
Advocate for Respondent : Mr. Kshitij Surve  
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL  
AND  
NEERAJ P. DHOTE, JJ.  
DATED : 07<sup>TH</sup> NOVEMBER 2023**

**PER COURT :-**

- . Heard both the sides.
2. The learned advocate for the appellant-wife points out that though the appeal is of 2019, no interim relief in the form of 'C' to the impugned Judgment and Decree was sought since the respondent-husband had made a statement that he would not be performing the second marriage.
3. We have heard both the sides on the point of admission. The appeal is **Admitted**. Operation of the impugned Judgment and Decree shall stand stayed till the decision of the Appeal.

**[NEERAJ P. DHOTE]  
JUDGE**

**[MANGESH S. PATIL]  
JUDGE**