



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 9452 OF 2025

**CHANDRAKALA BALABHAU SONAVANE
VERSUS
ANKUSH NIVARTI RIKAME AND ANOTEHR**

...
Mr. Sharad Shankarappa Halkude, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. S. P. Joshi, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

**CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.
DATED : 05th AUGUST, 2025.**

P.C.:-

1. Leave to add respondent.
2. Heard Mr. Halkude, learned Advocate appearing for petitioner.
3. By inviting attention of this Court to application tendered by respondents to Mamlatdar, he would submit that application is neither verified by parties nor it is supported by affidavit. According to him, there is no compliance to mandatory provisions under Section 7 of Mamlatdar's Courts Act. The procedure followed by learned Tahsildar/Mamlatdar is not aligned to procedure prescribed under Act. He would, therefore, urge that such application could not have been entertained by Mamlatdar. According to him, aforesaid aspects are not considered by Sub Divisional Officer in Revision Application filed before him.

4. Considering submissions advanced, issue notice to respondent, returnable on 02.09.2025. The learned AGP waives notice for added respondent.

5. Till then, there shall be ad-interim relief in terms of prayer Clause (C). However, petitioner shall not obstruct respondents from using suit way as pathway.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR)
JUDGE

Devendra/August-2025