



drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.8135 OF 2024

Ramkisan Maruti Kadam and Others

PETITIONERS

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and Others

RESPONDENTS

Mr. Datta A. Madke, Advocate for the Petitioners

Mr. P. K. Lakhotiya, AGP for Respondent - State

Mr. D. J. Chaudhary, Advocate for Respondents No.4 and 5

[CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, &
VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, J. J.]

DATE : 4th MAY, 2026

ORDER :

1. Learned Advocate for the Petitioners has argued the matter at length.
2. We are not inclined to entertain the Petition, as the prayers made in the Petition that, the Petitioners should be accommodated in Government ITIs, cannot be granted in view of the fact that the Petitioners were working in privately managed ITIs, which were not receiving grant in aid.
3. The Writ Petition being misconceived, is dismissed.

[VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV]
JUDGE

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI]
JUDGE