

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

94 WRIT PETITION NO.9459 OF 2018

**BHASKAR KASHINATHRAO SHINDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Surve Hemant And Surve Kshitij H.
AGP for Respondent No. 1 : Mr. P.K. Lakhotiya
Advocate for Respondent No. 2 : Mr. More Abhijit S.
Advocate for Respondent No. 3 : Mr. Choudhary D. J.
Advocate for Respondent No.4 : Mr. Bhise Bhausheb B.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 29/07/2019

PER COURT :

1. Mr. More, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 submits that though this Court had directed the petitioner to approach the grievance committee and the petitioner had approached the grievance committee, however, at the relevant time, the grievance committee was not constituted. Subsequently, it is constituted. The learned counsel further submits that the issue in question would not be within the domain of the grievance committee, in as much as the petitioner is not an employee of any institution and is aggrieved by the selection

process conducted by the respondent No. 3-Management.

2. We have also heard the learned counsel for petitioner, learned counsel for respondent No. 3 and learned counsel for respondent No. 4 on the same issue. Considering the above, we would consider the petition on its own merits. The respondents shall file their affidavit, defending their case on merits by the next date. Stand over to 29.08.2019.

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

habeeb