



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**918 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8436 OF 2024
IN PIL/58/2024WITH
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 58 OF 2024
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9654 OF 2024
IN PIL/58/2024**

BAR ASSOCIATION OF INDUSTRIAL LAWYERS THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT
PRAKASH MANIKRAO SHINDE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Golewar Vishwanath P
AGP for Respondent nos. 1 to 5 & 7 /State : Mr. V.M. Kagne
Advocate for Respondent no. 6 : Mr. Parth S. Salunke
Advocate for Respondent nos. 8 & 9 : Mr. A.B. Kadethankar
Advocate for Respondent nos. 10 to 16: Mr. B.B. Yenge
Advocate for Respondent no. 18 MIDC : Mr. S/S/ Dande
Advocate for Respondent no. 17 : Mr. Sachin Deshmukh

...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 11.10.2024

PER COURT :

Pursuant to the directions of the Court on the last date, the parties are unanimous that a meeting was held.

2. The parties are unanimous in informing us that apart from plot no. 22, the MIDC has also suggested another plot. But, though it is large in extent, it is obstructed by a high tension distribution line. The learned advocates for the Petitioner-association submit that they would undertake some homework so as to ascertain as to which of the two plots would be feasible going by the restrictions on the development according to the Development Rules and the Regulations of the MSEDCL. The MIDC and

MSEDCL shall cooperate the petitioner.

3. We are also being informed that as far as Labour and Industrial Court, Latur, is concerned, the President of Industrial Court, Mumbai, has directed the Architect to modify the map and to submit it. It is awaited.

4. As far as Labour and Industrial Court, Jalna, is concerned, the learned A.G.P shall take suitable instructions in light of the previous directions.

5. S.O. to 12.11.2024.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

mkd/-