



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

935 FIRST APPEAL NO. 3335 OF 2011

SUDHAKAR DATTATRAYA GADEWAR AND ORS  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAH AND ORS

...

WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3294 OF 2011 WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3298 OF 2011  
WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3337 OF 2011 WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3290 OF 2011  
WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3291 OF 2011 WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3292 OF 2011  
WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3293 OF 2011 WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3336 OF 2011  
WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3299 OF 2011 WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3300 OF 2011  
WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3295 OF 2011 WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3296 OF 2011  
WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3297 OF 2011 WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3333 OF 2011  
WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3334 OF 2011 WITH FIRST APPEAL NO. 3289 OF 2011

Mr. V. G. Sakolkar, Advocate for Appellant  
Mrs. A. S. Mantri, AGP for the Respondent/State

CORAM : SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.

DATED : 7<sup>th</sup> MAY, 2026

**P. C. :-**

1. It is pointed out that learned Advocate Mr. G. N. Patil appearing for respondent No.3 is no more.
2. Hence, issue fresh notice to respondent No.3, returnable on 19<sup>th</sup> June, 2026.
3. The learned Advocate for the appellant is at liberty to serve the respondent No.3 by way of legally permissible mode of service apart from the Court service and to place on record service affidavit before the next date. Hamdust is allowed.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

ssp