



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

930 WRIT PETITION NO. 12053 OF 2025

ANANDKUMAR NANDLAL JAIN

VERSUS

ZAMBAD INFRASTRUCTURE THROUGH SANDESH SUBHASH
ZAMBAD AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Shete Sadashiv S.

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 08.10.2025

PER COURT:

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the owner of the property and the same is prima facie accepted by both the courts below. Only reason why the injunction is refused is that it is not shown in the sale deed that the petitioner is put in possession by virtue of the sale deed. He submits that the court commissioner report indicates his possession and the defendant has absolutely no rights whatsoever over the property. The injunction order ought to have been allowed against the defendant as they have no right over the property.

2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 20.11.2025.

Notice to indicate that if time permits, the matter may be disposed of at admission stage. In the event, it is not possible to

dispose of the matter for any reason, this court will consider prayer for interim relief.

3. Humdust allowed.

4. Stand over to 20.11.2025.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.]

marathe