



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

940 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12510 OF 2011
IN FAST/22071/2011
WITH
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 22071 OF 2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS
VERSUS
SHANTABAI SHARNAPPA MANGIRE

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12511 OF 2011
IN FAST/22071/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS
VERSUS
SHANTABAI SHARNAPPA MANGIRE

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12531 OF 2011
IN FAST/22000/2011
WITH
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 22000 OF 2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS
VERSUS
CHANBASAPPA SANGRAM PATIL, DIED THR. L.R. GANESH

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12530 OF 2011
IN FAST/22000/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS
VERSUS
CHANBASAPPA SANGRAM PATIL, DIED THR. L.R. GANESH

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12526 OF 2011
IN FAST/22046/2011
WITH
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 22046 OF 2011



THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS
VERSUS
SHANKAR GOVIND SURYAWANSHI

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12527 OF 2011
IN FAST/22046/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS
VERSUS
SHANKAR GOVIND SURYAWANSHI

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12508 OF 2011
IN FAST/22042/2011

WITH
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 22042 OF 2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS
VERSUS
DEEPAK ARVIND HATTIKALE

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12509 OF 2011
IN FAST/22042/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS
VERSUS
DEEPAK ARVIND HATTIKALE

...
Mr. S. G. Joshi, AGP for Applicant / State.
Ms. Sakshi Kale, h/f Mr. Ajeet B. Kale, Advocate for Claimants.
...

CORAM : **SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.**

DATE : 05th May, 2026.



P.C.:

1 Heard the learned AGP and the learned Advocate for the claimants.

Applications for condonation of delay:

2 Perused the applications.

3 These are applications for condonation of delay of 379 days caused in filing the first appeals.

4 The learned AGP pointed out that the delay is not deliberately caused. On the contrary, it was caused because of the time required for processing the sanction from the Law and Judiciary Department to file appeals.

5 For the reasons stated in the applications, the delay stands condoned. The applications stand allowed, in the interest of justice.

Appeals :

6 These appeals are preferred against the judgment and awards dated 29th April, 2010, passed by the learned Land Reference Court, Taluka Omerga, District Osmanabad, in LAR Nos.11, 15, 23 and 34 of 2010.

7 The learned AGP for the State submits that in view of the policy decision of the State Government as per the Government Resolution dated 03.11.2016 and the corrigendum dated 23.02.2017 issued thereto, the State Government has resolved not to file or



contest any appeal where the amount of compensation awarded by the Reference Court is within four times of the amount awarded by the SLAO. He submits that the present first appeal deserves to be disposed of in view of the aforesaid policy decision of the State Government, as the amount of compensation awarded by the Reference Court is within four times of the amount awarded by the SLAO.

8 Considering the submissions of the learned AGP as well as the policy decision of the State Government, the appeals deserve to be disposed of. The first appeals are disposed of accordingly.

9 Pending civil applications for stay are also disposed of.

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.]