



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

**940 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12510 OF 2011**  
IN FAST/22071/2011  
*WITH*  
**FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 22071 OF 2011**

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,  
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS  
*VERSUS*  
SHANTABAI SHARNAPPA MANGIRE

*WITH*  
**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12511 OF 2011**  
IN FAST/22071/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,  
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS  
*VERSUS*  
SHANTABAI SHARNAPPA MANGIRE

*AND*  
**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12531 OF 2011**  
IN FAST/22000/2011  
*WITH*  
**FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 22000 OF 2011**

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,  
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS  
*VERSUS*  
CHANBASAPPA SANGRAM PATIL, DIED THR. L.R. GANESH

*WITH*  
**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12530 OF 2011**  
IN FAST/22000/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,  
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS  
*VERSUS*  
CHANBASAPPA SANGRAM PATIL, DIED THR. L.R. GANESH

*AND*  
**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12526 OF 2011**  
IN FAST/22046/2011  
*WITH*  
**FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 22046 OF 2011**



THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,  
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
SHANKAR GOVIND SURYAWANSHI

WITH  
**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12527 OF 2011**  
IN FAST/22046/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,  
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
SHANKAR GOVIND SURYAWANSHI

AND  
**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12508 OF 2011**  
IN FAST/22042/2011

WITH  
**FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 22042 OF 2011**

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,  
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
DEEPAK ARVIND HATTIKALE

WITH  
**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12509 OF 2011**  
IN FAST/22042/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,  
THROUGH COLLECTOR OSMANABAD AND OTHERS  
VERSUS  
DEEPAK ARVIND HATTIKALE

...  
Mr. S. G. Joshi, AGP for Applicant / State.  
Ms. Sakshi Kale, h/f Mr. Ajeet B. Kale, Advocate for Claimants.  
...

CORAM : **SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.**

DATE : 05<sup>th</sup> May, 2026.



**P.C.:**

1 Heard the learned AGP and the learned Advocate for the claimants.

**Applications for condonation of delay:**

2 Perused the applications.

3 These are applications for condonation of delay of 379 days caused in filing the first appeals.

4 The learned AGP pointed out that the delay is not deliberately caused. On the contrary, it was caused because of the time required for processing the sanction from the Law and Judiciary Department to file appeals.

5 For the reasons stated in the applications, the delay stands condoned. The applications stand allowed, in the interest of justice.

**Appeals :**

6 These appeals are preferred against the judgment and awards dated 29<sup>th</sup> April, 2010, passed by the learned Land Reference Court, Taluka Omerga, District Osmanabad, in LAR Nos.11, 15, 23 and 34 of 2010.

7 The learned AGP for the State submits that in view of the policy decision of the State Government as per the Government Resolution dated 03.11.2016 and the corrigendum dated 23.02.2017 issued thereto, the State Government has resolved not to file or



contest any appeal where the amount of compensation awarded by the Reference Court is within four times of the amount awarded by the SLAO. He submits that the present first appeal deserves to be disposed of in view of the aforesaid policy decision of the State Government, as the amount of compensation awarded by the Reference Court is within four times of the amount awarded by the SLAO.

8           Considering the submissions of the learned AGP as well as the policy decision of the State Government, the appeals deserve to be disposed of. The first appeals are disposed of accordingly.

9           Pending civil applications for stay are also disposed of.

**[ SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J. ]**