



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

906 WRIT PETITION NO. 7187 OF 2015

Rajendra Ramaji Dhawale & othersPetitioners

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra & othersRespondents

Ms. P. S. Talekar, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr. P. K. Lakhotiya, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 3.
Mr. A. B. Kadethankar, Advocate for Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE AND
R. M. JOSHI, JJ.**

DATE : 29th FEBRUARY, 2024.

PER COURT :

1. Not less than on at least four dates, the Benches dealing with this matter have consistently granted an adjournment to the State calling upon it to specifically indicate about how it can take a different view when the Hon'ble Supreme Court has already decided the issue. On every date, the State has asked for an adjournment on one pretext or the other.

2. In the last hearing on 14th February, 2024, we were contemplating commencement of dictation of the order. However, an adjournment was sought by the learned Senior Advocate representing the State. This matter was to be heard in view of the directions of the

Hon'ble Supreme Court by which the hearing in this Petition is expedited.

3. Today, the State again seeks an adjournment on the pretext that there is going to be a change in the Senior Advocate who will address the Court on it's behalf.

4. The learned Advocate for the Petitioners submits that the Court dealing with the Contempt cases is informed by the State that since this Court is finally hearing the matter, the Contempt proceeding be adjourned. We disapprove the conduct of the State.

5. Since the State is seeking an adjournment on the pretext that there would be a change in the Senior Advocate representing it, list this Petition on 21st March, 2024, in the same category.

6. In the meanwhile, the pendency of this Petition will not be an impediment to the Petitioner for proceeding with the Contempt cases before concerned Court.

(R. M. JOSHI)
JUDGE

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE)
JUDGE