

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 7187 OF 2015

Rajendra Ramaji Dhawale & ors.

Petitioners.

Versus

State of Maharashtra and others.

Respondents.

Ms. Talekar, Advocate for petitioners.

Mrs. A.V. Gondhalekar, A.G.P. for State.

Mr. R.S. Deshmukh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

**CORAM : T.V. NALAWADE AND
SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.**

Dated : 17 January 2019.

ORDER :-

. Both the sides are heard for some time. Learned Additional Government Pleader produced on record some Government Resolutions of Law and Judiciary Department dated 18.01.2017, 15.09.2018 and learned Counsel for the petitioners placed on record copy of Manudhane Commissions Report 1981. They are taken on record. Learned Counsel for the petitioners wants to amend the prayer clause and wants to amend the petition itself to make more clear the prayer from prayer clause 'B' and for that she seeks time.

2. Learned Counsel Mr. Deshmukh produced on record report submitted by Registrar (Inspection -I), High Court, Mumbai in view of the order passed by this Court recently. This Court was surprised to see the information supplied by Registrar (Inspection - I). There is clear possibility that the Principal District Judges from the places like Aurangabad had made specific proposals for creation of posts of additional staff on the establishment on the line with the establishment created at Pune. Similarly, there is clear possibility that the proposals were made for creation of additional posts of bailiffs at least at Aurangabad in view of the circumstance that the bailiff staff is required to do the work of serving process issued by High Court and no separate staff for that work was ever provided. Registrar (Inspection -I) is expected to go through the correspondence made by District Court, Aurangabad from the years 2008 to 2011. Similarly some correspondence made from Nagpur from the years 2006 to 2008 and from Akola in the years 2002 and 2003 in this regard can be seen by the Registrar (Inspection -I).

3. This Court wants to go through the report prepared by Justice Dabholkar Commission appointed by Government, as

there is possibility of consideration of the points involved in the present matter by the said Commission and this Court wants to go through the recommendations, if any, made by the said Commission. Copy is to be supplied to the Court.

4. This Court wants information from the learned Counsel for the High Court as to whether Manudhane Commission's report was accepted by the High Court and what was done by the High Court for implementation of recommendations made by the said Commission.

5. Stand over to 22 January 2019, in urgent category.

(SUNIL K. KOTWAL)
JUDGE

(T.V. NALAWADE)
JUDGE

vdd/