



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

926 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2218 OF 2023
IN REVNST/5753/2023
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2096 OF 2023
IN REVNST/5753/2023
WITH
CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION (STAMP) NO. 5753 OF 2023

BHARATSINGH MANGILALSINGH THAKUR

VERSUS

GULAB KARBHARI TEJANIKAR

...

Mr. Narsing Bankatrao Jadhav, Advocate for Applicant

Mr. Datta S. Kale, Advocate for Respondent

...

CORAM : AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.

DATE : 26.03.2026

PER COURT :-

. The Criminal Revision Application arises out of the conviction of the applicant for an offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act. During the pendency of the application, the parties expressed their desire to settle the matter amicably. Accordingly, the matter was adjourned from time to time. The parties were also referred to mediation, which was successful.

2. It appears from the order dated 10.03.2026, as well as from the settlement recorded by the learned counsel for the respective parties, that the applicant agreed to pay a lump sum amount of Rs. 1,50,000/- to the respondent in full and final settlement. Out of the said amount, a sum of Rs.



1,00,000/- was paid, and the balance amount remained to be paid by the applicant to the respondent.

3. Vide order dated 24.03.2026, this Court recorded that the balance amount of Rs. 50,000/- shall be paid by the applicant to the respondent on 25.03.2026. Today, the learned counsel for the respective parties have placed on record a receipt indicating that the applicant has paid the balance amount of Rs. 50,000/- to the respondent on 25.03.2026, in compliance with the order of this Court. The said receipt, though signed by the applicant, has also been countersigned by the learned counsel for the respondent, who submits that his client has received the said amount in full satisfaction of the settlement.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that since the applicant has paid the entire settlement amount to the respondent, the dispute stands fully resolved. As such, the present revision application does not survive in terms of the settlement.

5. The criminal revision application is allowed in terms of prayer clause "B".

6. The receipt of the balance amount is taken on record and marked as "X" for identification purposes.

7. Pending criminal applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

[AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.]