



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

986 CONT. PETITION NO. 759 OF 2018
IN WP/7940/2009

VIJAYA BABANRAO KALE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
RAHUL DIVEDI AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms. Sakshi Kale h/f. Mr. Kale Ajeet B.
AGP/APP for Respondent/State : Ms. Chaitali Chdouari Kutti

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE AND
ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, JJ.

DATE : 06.05.2026

PER COURT :

. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the order under Contempt is complied with but the petitioners are not satisfied with the amounts and therefore, sought the liberty to challenge the compliance. Be that as it may, the order under Contempt appears to be complied with. In view of the same, the Contempt Petition stands disposed of with the liberty as aforesaid.

(ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, J.)

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)