



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

902 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 75 OF 2026
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7293 OF 2025 IN ARBA/75/2026

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA PIU, JALGAON THROUGH
PROJECT DIRECTOR SHIVAJI V PAWAR

VERSUS

JANKIRAM FATRU DHAYDE AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. Manorkar Deepak Suresh

Advocate for Respondent 1 : Mr. A.B. Kale h/f. Ms. S.A. Kale a/w. Mr.
Rahul N. Lathi

Advocate for Respondent 2 : Mr. R.B. Bagul

...

903 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 76 OF 2026
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9158 OF 2025 IN ARBA/76/2026

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA PIU JALGAON THRU.
PROJECT DIRECTOR SHIVAJI V. PAWAR

VERSUS

CHANDRAKANT GOVINDA DUTTE AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. Manorkar Deepak Suresh

Advocate for Respondent 1 : Mr. A.B. Kale h/f. Ms. S.A. Kale a/w. Mr.
Rahul N. Lathi

Advocate for Respondent 2 : Mr. N.S. Salunke

...

904 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 77 OF 2026
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9148 OF 2025 IN ARBA/77/2026

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA PIU JALGAON

VERSUS

TUKARAM SHANKAR AAGE

...

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. Manorkar Deepak Suresh

Advocate for Respondent 1 : Mr. A.B. Kale h/f. Ms. S.A. Kale a/w. Mr.



Rahul N. Lathi
Advocate for Respondent/CALA : Mr. R.S. Sarvadnya

...

**905 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 78 OF 2026
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9150 OF 2025 IN ARBA/78/2026**

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA PIU JALGAON
VERSUS
KAMINIBAI RAMESH DUTTE AND ANOTHERS

...

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. Manorkar Deepak Suresh
Advocate for Respondent 1 : Mr. A.B. Kale h/f. Ms. S.A. Kale a/w. Mr.
Rahul N. Lathi
Advocate for Respondent 2 : Mr. K.S. Salunke

...

**906 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 79 OF 2026
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9154 OF 2025 IN ARBA/79/2026**

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA THR ITS PROJECT
DIRECTOR SHIVAJI V PAWAR JALGAON
VERSUS
USHABAI JANKIRAM DHAYDE AND ANOTHERS

...

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. Manorkar Deepak Suresh
Advocate for Respondent 1 : Mr. A.B. Kale h/f. Ms. S.A. Kale a/w. Mr.
Rahul N. Lathi
Advocate for Respondent 2 : Mr. S.W. Munde

...

**907 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 80 OF 2026
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9152 OF 2025 IN ARBA/80/2026**

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA PIU JALGAON
VERSUS
SHANKAR GANPAT INGALE AND OTHER

...

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. Manorkar Deepak Suresh
Advocate for Respondent 1 : Mr. A.B. Kale h/f. Ms. S.A. Kale a/w. Mr.



3

Rahul N. Lathi
Advocate for Respondent 2 : Mr. Rohit Sarvdnya

...

**908 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 81 OF 2026
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9144 OF 2025 IN ARBA/81/2026**

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA, PIU, JALGAON
THROUGH ITS PROJECTS DIRECTOR SHIVAJI V PAWAR

VERSUS

RAJENDRA KESHAV DUTTE AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. Manorkar Deepak Suresh
Advocate for Respondent 1 : Mr. A.B. Kale h/f. Ms. S.A. Kale a/w. Mr.
Rahul N. Lathi
Advocate for Respondent 2 : Ms. A.S. Jadhav

...

**909 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 82 OF 2026
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9140 OF 2025 IN ARBA/82/2026**

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA PIU JALGAON

VERSUS

SANJAY NARAYAN PATIL AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. Manorkar Deepak Suresh
Advocate for Respondent 1 : Mr. A.B. Kale h/f. Ms. S.A. Kale a/w. Mr.
Rahul N. Lathi
Advocate for Respondent 2 : Mr. R.B. Bagul

...

**910 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 83 OF 2026
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9146 OF 2025 IN ARBA/83/2026**

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA PIU JALGAON

VERSUS

NARAYAN BALIRAM DHAYADE AND ANR.

...

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. Manorkar Deepak Suresh
Advocate for Respondent 1 : Mr. A.B. Kale h/f. Ms. S.A. Kale a/w. Mr.



Rahul N. Lathi
Advocate for Respondent 2 : Mr. M.G. Kasturkar

...

**911 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 84 OF 2026
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9156 OF 2025 IN ARBA/84/2026**

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA PIU JALGAON THRU.
PROJECT DIRECTOR SHIVAJI V. PAWAR

VERSUS

LAXMAN DIPCHAND JAVARE (DIED) THRU. LRS. SUGANTATAI LAXMAN
JAVARE AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. Manorkar Deepak Suresh
Advocate for Respondent 1 : Mr. A.B. Kale h/f. Ms. S.A. Kale a/w. Mr.
Rahul N. Lathi
Advocate for Respondent 2 : Mr. U.B. Bondar

...

**912 ARBITRATION APPEAL NO. 85 OF 2026
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9142 OF 2025 IN ARBA/85/2026**

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AUTHORITY OF INDIA, PIU, JALGAON
THROUGH IST PROJECTS DIRECTOR SHIVAJI V PAWAR

VERSUS

PANDURANG SHAMA DUTTE AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. Manorkar Deepak Suresh
Advocate for Respondent 1 : Mr. A.B. Kale h/f. Ms. S.A. Kale a/w. Mr.
Rahul N. Lathi
Advocate for Respondent 2 : Mr. R.H. Mewara

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

Dated : April 09, 2026

PER COURT :-

1. Heard.



2. By the present appeal, the appellant - National Highways Authority of India has challenged the order passed by the learned Principal District Judge under section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, whereby the application filed by the appellant under section 34 of the said Act came to be rejected and arbitral award passed by the learned Arbitrator under section 3G(5) of the National Highways Act, 1956 came to be confirmed.

3. The lands of the respondent/original claimants from village Ghodasgaon, Tal. Muktainagar were acquired for the public purpose of widening of NH-6. The Government of India had approved and issued a preliminary notification dated 11.11.2011 for acquisition u/s. 3-A(1) of the National Highways Act.

4. This Court by order dated 1.4.2026 in Arbitration Appeal No. 103/2025 (National Highways Authority of India Vs. Bhaskar Ninu Zambare and Ors.) and other connected matters has dismissed the arbitration appeals filed by the National Highway Authority qua the compensation granted for the lands acquired for the expansion of national highway from Jalgaon by notification under section 3-A of the NHAI Act, dated 11.11.2011. The compensation granted therein was at the rate of 2800/- per sq. mtr. by the arbitrator, thereafter, the same was



confirmed by the District Court under section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act and this Court under section 37 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act has also dismissed the appeals filed by the National Highways Authority. The reasoning given in Arbitration Appeal No. 103/2025 cited supra would apply to the instant case.

5. In the present matter, the learned counsel for the appellant has filed written notes of argument on record, which are taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification. The learned counsel for the appellant has placed reliance on the judgment in the case of **Kolkata Metropolitan Development Authority Vs. Gobinda Chandra Makal**, which mandates the strict cutoff of the 3A notification date. However, in earlier matters, this Court in **Arbitration Appeal No. 103/2025** cited supra has already dealt with this aspect and has considered the judgment in the case of **Chimanlal Hargovinddas Vs. Special Land Acquisition Officer reported in AIR 1988 SC 1652**, and has observed that while determining the market value various factors are required to be taken into consideration and one of such factors is that even post-notification sale instances can be taken into account if (i) they are very proximate in point of time, (ii) they are genuine transactions, and (iii) the acquisition itself has not motivated the purchaser to pay a higher price on account of the resultant improvement in development prospects. On satisfying all the above conditions sale



be no case for interference as sufficient deductions are made in the present matters towards distance of acquired lands from Muktainagar and also towards size has granted reasonable compensation. The arbitrator has also taken into consideration the N.A. potential of the acquired land. Considering all these aspects of the matters, no case is made out for interference. Arbitration appeals are dismissed. In view of the dismissal of the appeals, nothing survives in the civil applications, if any. Hence, the same are also disposed of accordingly.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)

ssc/