

{1}

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

902 FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 46 OF 2022

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10700 OF 2022 IN FCA/46/2022

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9840 OF 2025 IN FCAST/13800/2023

Ashwini Shrikant Rahane

VERSUS

Shrikant Ramnath Rahane

.....

Ms. Sunita G. Sonawane, Advocate for Appellant (absent)

Mr. Sartaj Pathan, Advocate h/f Mr. V.Y. Bhide, Advocate for Respondent

.....

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 13 FEBRUARY, 2026

PER COURT :-

1. Learned advocate for the appellant is absent in the afternoon session. In the morning session, another advocate, holding for the advocate on record, was present. However, she was unable to give the particulars and explanation for the repeated delays in the matter, and therefore, we had kept the matter back with direction to the advocate to call the advocate on record, but the advocate on record is absent.
2. Place the matter for further consideration on 07.03.2026.
3. If the learned advocate for the appellant and applicant is absent on that date, the appeal and applications are liable to be dismissed.

**[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE**

**[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE**