



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 5859 OF 2026

KEDAR KRUSHI SEVA KENDRA THROUGH ITS PROPRIETOR
SAINATH D MEDEWAR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE SECRETARY
AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. R. M. Bhagwat h/f Mr. Ganesh P. Shinde, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Mr. S. P. Sonpawale, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 5860 OF 2026

GAJANAN KRUSHI SEVA KENDRA THROUGH ITS
PROPRIETOR SHRINIVAS V JAWADWAR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE SECRETARY
AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. R. M. Bhagwat h/f Mr. Ganesh P. Shinde, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Ms. P. V. Diggikar, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 5870 OF 2026

HANUMAN KRUSHI SEVA KENDRA THROUGH ITS
PROPRIETOR PRAKASH M BHARKAD

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE SECRETARY
AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. R. M. Bhagwat h/f Mr. Ganesh P. Shinde, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Mr. C. V. Bhadane, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 5872 OF 2026

DHASHRATH KRUSHI SEVA KENDRA THROUGH ITS
PROPRIETOR DHASHRATH G BACCHEWAR

VERSUS
**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE SECRETARY
AND ANOTHER**

...

Mr. R. M. Bhagwat h/f Mr. Ganesh P. Shinde, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Mr. S. K. Shirse, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 5920 OF 2026

M/S VASANT AGRO SERVICE CENTRE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. R. M. Bhagwat h/f Mr. Ganesh P. Shinde, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Mr. S. P. Joshi, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.
DATED : 15th JUNE, 2026.

P.C.:-

1. Heard.
2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 13.07.2026. The learned AGP waives notice for respondents.
3. The learned Advocate appearing for petitioners submits that on 19.12.2025 petitioners were subjected to action of suspension of licenses on the basis of deficiencies quoted by District Vigilance Squad on 11.12.2025. The petitioners' fertilizer licenses were suspended for period from 19.12.2025 to 03.01.2026. Again by impugned order dated 26.05.2026 on the basis of very same inspection report and so called deficiencies, petitioners were subjected to further action of suspension of licenses for period from

26.05.2026 to 25.11.2026. He would, therefore, submit that for one default they have been vexed twice for action of suspension of licenses, which is patently illegal and contrary to law.

4. *Prima facie*, there appears substance in contention of petitioners that initial action dated 19.12.2025 as well as action under impugned order dated 26.05.2026 are based on one and same infraction and they have been subject to action twice for same cause.

5. In light of aforesaid aspects, although remedy of Appeal is provided under statute, *prima facie*, this Court finds that interference in writ jurisdiction is warranted. Hence, there shall be ad-interim relief in terms of prayer Clause (C).

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR)
JUDGE