



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION (STAMP) NO. 16125 OF 2025**

Appasaheb Murlidhar Gore  
VERSUS  
Gayabai Kachru Magar and others

Mr. V. R. Dhore, Advocate for Petitioner  
Mr. R. S. Wani, AGP for Respondents/State

**CORAM : NEERAJ. P. DHOTE, J.**

**DATE : 19<sup>th</sup> May, 2025**

**PER COURT :-**

1. Petitioner has challenged the order dated 09.05.2025 passed by learned Sub Divisional Officer, Vaijapur dismissing Revision Application of the petitioner which was preferred against the order dated 19.07.2024 passed by Tahsildar Vaijapur allowing the Application of the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in respect of Way from the disputed part of land.

2. It is the contention of learned Advocate for the Petitioner that the Tahsildar has granted relief which was not asked for and, therefore, it was challenged before the Revisional Authority. He further submits that during the pendency of revision, there was Stay to the operation of aforesaid order passed by Tahsildar, Vaijapur. The Petitioner has received notice from Circle Officer, Khandala dated 13.05.2025 to open the road and he is summoned to appear on

20.05.2025.

3. On hearing learned advocate for the Petitioner and going through the impugned orders and the Plot Inspection Report at Page No. 32 *prima facie* it appears that relief which was not asked for is granted by the Tahsildar, Vaijapur. Further the order of Tahsildar was stayed during the pendency of Revision before the Sub-Divisional Officer. Thus, the impugned order of Tahsildar, Vaijapur shall remain stayed till the next date.

4. Hence, issue notice to Respondents returnable, after 6 weeks.

5. Learned AGP waives service of notice to Respondent Nos. 3 to 6.

**( NEERAJ. P. DHOTE, J.)**

bsj