



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

904 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 925 OF 2026

Avinash Somanath GhalmeApplicants

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & anotherRespondents

Mr. A. S. More, Advocate for Applicants.

Mr. G. O. Wattamwar, APP for the State.

Mr. Sohail Subhedar, Advocate for the informant.

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 10th JUNE, 2026.

PER COURT :

1. During the course of hearing, dispute is made by learned counsel for the applicants with regard to the observations made by the Sessions Court while rejecting the application contending that the applicants are not seen assaulting the deceased who, subsequently committed suicide.
2. The said contention is opposed by learned APP. However, there is no dispute made with regard to the fact that there is CCTV footage of the incident in question in which, the deceased was taken from one place to another.
3. Prosecution, therefore, is directed to place said CCTV footage before this Court.

4. Stand over to 12.06.2026.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

dyb