



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

22 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.3180 OF 2021
WITH CA(ST)/29827/2021 IN SAST/3180/2021
WITH
23 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.3387 OF 2021
WITH CA(ST)/3409/2021 IN SAST/3387/2021
WITH
24 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.12132 OF 2021
WITH
25 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.12136 OF 2021
WITH
26 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.12239 OF 2021
WITH CA(ST)/12240/2021 IN SAST/12239/2021
WITH
28 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.12551 OF 2021
WITH
30 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.13020 OF 2021
WITH
31 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.13070 OF 2021
WITH CA(ST)/13074/2021 IN SAST/13070/2021
WITH
32 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.13176 OF 2021
WITH
33 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.13183 OF 2021
WITH
35 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.13687 OF 2021
WITH CA(ST)/13692/2021 IN SAST/13687/2021
WITH
36 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.13700 OF 2021
WITH CA(ST)/13704/2021 IN SAST/13700/2021
WITH
37 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.13730 OF 2021
WITH
38 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.13764 OF 2021
WITH
39 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.13780 OF 2021
WITH CA(ST)/13797/2021 IN SAST/13780/2021
WITH



40 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.13812 OF 2021
WITH CA(ST)/13814/2021 IN SAST/13812/2021
WITH
41 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.13815 OF 2021
WITH CA(ST)/13816/2021 IN SAST/13815/2021 WITH
CA(ST)/13817/2021 IN SAST/13815/2021
WITH
42 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.14467 OF 2021
WITH
43 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.14669 OF 2021
WITH CA(ST)/14670/2021 IN SAST/14669/2021
WITH
44 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.14788 OF 2021
WITH CA(ST)/14790/2021
WITH
45 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.15615 OF 2021
WITH
46 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.15732 OF 2021
WITH CA(ST)/15753/2021 IN SAST/15732/2021
WITH
47 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.15776 OF 2021
WITH
48 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.15800 OF 2021
WITH
49 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.15807 OF 2021
WITH
50 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.15960 OF 2021
WITH
51 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.16067 OF 2021
WITH CA(ST)/16068/2021
WITH
52 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.16070 OF 2021
WITH
53 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.16074 OF 2021
WITH
54 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.16370 OF 2021
WITH
55 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.16703 OF 2021
WITH
56 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.16751 OF 2021



WITH
57 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.16752 OF 2021
WITH
58 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.17771 OF 2021
WITH
59 SECOND APPEAL (STAMP) NO.17776 OF 2021
WITH
60 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.17890 OF 2021
IN SA/208/2009
WITH
61 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.18384 OF 2021
IN SA/342/1996
WITH
63 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.23168 OF 2021
IN SA/408/2017
WITH
64 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.23453 OF 2021
IN SA/365/1999
WITH
65 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.23982 OF 2021
IN SA/400/2009
WITH
66 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.24447 OF 2021
IN SA/471/2012
WITH
67 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.24581 OF 2021
IN SA/269/1994
WITH
68 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.24963 OF 2021
IN SA/79/2009
WITH
69 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.24967 OF 2021
IN SA/293/2019
WITH
70 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.25733 OF 2021
IN SA/419/2001
WITH
72 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.27136 OF 2021
IN SA/398/2017
WITH



73 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.27601 OF 2021
IN SA/44/2020
WITH
77 CIVIL APPLICATION (STAMP) NO.29185 OF 2021
IN SA/337/2010

...

CORAM: H.M.BHOSALE
REGISTRAR (JUDL)

DATED: 09/03/2022

1. None present. The above numbered batch of Second Appeals/applications came to be listed second time on the board of Registrar (Judicial). All the matters came to be filed in the year 2021. About one year has been lapsed, however, no steps are taken to remove the office objections.

2. It depicts from the record that all the objections were notified. Thereafter also no steps have been taken. In turn, the appeals/applications were listed on the board of Registrar (Judicial) for first time and two weeks time was granted. However, again no steps were taken. Consequently, matters are listed second time today.

3. To my mind, two weeks time plus pendency of these appeals/applications for about one year to remove the office objections is sufficient indicator to infer that the appellants/applicants are not willing to remove the office objections and proceed with these matters. In this scenario, it would be just and proper to refuse the registration instead of



keeping it pending unnecessarily.

4. However, instead of passing stringent order outrightly, I am of the considered view to give one more opportunity subject to conditions. In turn, the following order would meet the ends of justice.

ORDER

Three weeks time is granted to remove the office objections. On failure to remove the office objections within three weeks, registration would stand refused automatically without further reference to the Court of Registrar (Judicial).

REGISTRAR (JUDL)