

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

(1) WP NO.6553 of 2017

946 WRIT PETITION NO. 6553 OF 2017

DHONDIRAM SHANKAR LANGOTE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr.Dhorde Vikram R
AGP for Respondent State: Mr. S.B.Joshi
Mrs.Kulkarni M.A., Advocate for respondent nos. 6 and 7.

...
CORAM : P.R. BORA, J.
(VACATION COURT)
Dated: May 09, 2017

...
PER COURT :-

1. Heard Shri V.R.Dhorde, learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner, Smt. M.A.Kulkarni, learned Counsel appearing for respondent nos. 6 and 7 as well as learned A.G.P.
2. The order passed by the Honourable Minister on 19th of April, 2017, is challenged in the present petition. The Honourable Minister has set aside and quashed the order passed by the District Superintendent of Land Records on the ground that the request for carrying out necessary correction made by the petitioners was accepted by the Superintendent of Land Records after the period of 56 years.
3. Learned Counsel appearing for the caveator / respondent nos. 6 and 7, has opposed for granting any interim

agp/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

(2)

WP NO.6553 of 2017

relief in favour of the petitioner stating that the relevant entries were made with the consent of the parties. Learned Counsel has brought to my notice the document dated 13th of March, 1958, which is the statement jointly given by Shankar Limbaji and Limbaji Chagan Gauli. Learned counsel submitted that the Minister has rightly set aside the order passed by the Superintendent of Land Records and, therefore, prayed for rejecting the request for interim relief.

4. Perusal of the order passed by the Honourable Minister shows that the Honourable Minister has set aside the order passed by the Superintendent of Land Records only on the ground that the Superintendent of Land Records entertained an application of the petitioner after a long lapse of 56 years. Whether there was, in fact, any such delay in approaching the Superintendent of Land Records by the petitioner, or if delay has occurred, whether the same was satisfactorily explained by the petitioner or not, are the issues which require consideration. In the circumstances, I am inclined to direct the parties to maintain status quo as on today. S.O. to 5th of June, 2017.

5. Authenticated copy of this order be issued to the requesting parties.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

agp/-