

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

938 WRIT PETITION NO.2666 OF 2021

PANDHARINATH SAMPAT SATPUTE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr.V.B. Dhage Advocate and Mr. H.D. Deshmukh
Advocate for Petitioners.
Mr.A.R. Kale, A.G.P. for Resp. Nos. 1 to 5.
Mr.B.R. Survase Advocate for Resp. No.8.

...

**CORAM: SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
Y.G. KHOBRADE, JJ.**

DATE : 18th OCTOBER, 2022

ORDER :

1. Learned AGP as well as learned Advocate representing respondent No.8 to take instructions regarding, what was the area covered when the percolation tank was to be constructed and whether because of the submergence of the lands as alleged, which is stated to be after the acquisition of the land for the dam, what was the area contemplated to be acquired / measured. It appears that there is confusion as regards the prayer that has been made. In Para 3 of the Petition, it is stated that the percolation tank was constructed in near about 35

hector area and near about another 35 hector area is said to be submerged under the back water of the said percolation tank. With this issue, the instructions be taken and additional affidavit, if any, to be filed before the next date.

2. Stand over to 30th November 2022.

[Y.G. KHOBRAGADE]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

asb/OCT22