



(1)

77-FA-3064-2015

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

77 FIRST APPEAL NO. 3064 OF 2015

Executive Engineer, Irrigation Project, Strengthening Division, Omerga
Thr Mkvdc Pune And Others

VERSUS

Subhadrabai Manju Shinde And Others

WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 37 OF 2016

WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 265 OF 2016

WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 39 OF 2016

WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 35 OF 2016

WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 36 OF 2016

WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 266 OF 2016

WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 269 OF 2016

WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 268 OF 2016

WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 267 OF 2016

WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 38 OF 2016

WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 3488 OF 2015

WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 3489 OF 2015

WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 3490 OF 2015

WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO. 3491 OF 2015
WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO. 2522 OF 2017
WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO. 2523 OF 2017
WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO. 2525 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5234 OF 2022
IN X-OBJST/15382/2021
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5411 OF 2022
IN X-OBJST/15386/2021
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 801 OF 2023
IN X-OBJST/15527/2021
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 802 OF 2023
IN X-OBJST/15530/2021
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 803 OF 2023
IN X-OBJST/15532/2021
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10166 OF 2016
IN FA/3064/2015
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5412 OF 2023
IN X-OBJST/24736/2021
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5411 OF 2023
IN X-OBJST/24739/2021

...

None for the Appellant.

Mr. Dinesh U. Manwatkar Advocate for Respondent Nos .2 to 4.

Mr. P. S. Chavan, Advocate for Respondent No.5 to 11.

Mr. S. B. Jadhav, AGP for Respondent/State.

...

CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT, J.

DATE : 23rd JUNE 2025

PC :-

1. The learned Advocate Mr. Chavan appearing for Respondent No.5 to 11/intervenors, who are subsequently added as respondents, points out the earlier orders passed in Civil Application Nos.10166/2016 and Civil Application No.3227/2025 in First Appeal No.3064/2015.
2. It is seen that inspite of specific order passed by this Court, the respondent No.2 to 4 have, till today, not complied with any of the orders passed earlier. Initially, this Court was also pleased to issue bailable warrant, pursuant to which the said respondents had appeared in this Court. On the last occasion i.e. on 29th April 2025, they could not make any concrete statement about refund of money that was directed vide order dated 29th August 2024 in Civil Application No. 10166 of 2016. This Court finds that this is clearly a case attracting the provisions of the Contempt of Courts Act. This Court is therefore satisfied that case

is made out to issue simple notice to the Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 as to why no action for contempt be initiated against them.

3. Issue notice to the Respondent Nos. 2 to 4, returnable on 21st July 2025.

[KISHORE C. SANT, J.]