



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

MISCELLANEOUS CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 130 OF 2026

Sakshi Sohan Sirsat @ Sakshi Gautam Gaikwad ...Applicant

Versus

Sohan Rashtrapal Sirsat ...Respondent

Mr. Shirish Milindrao Kamble, Advocate for the Applicant.

CORAM: ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.

DATE : MAY 07, 2026

PER COURT :

1. Heard learned counsel for the Applicant.
2. Issue notice to the Respondent, returnable on **19th June 2026**.
3. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant stay to the proceedings pending before the learned Civil Judge, Senior Division at Daund, as I do not see any necessity to stay the proceedings unnecessarily particularly when the facility of video conferencing is available to the parties.
4. It is further noted that, in proceedings of the present nature, personal appearance of the parties on each and every date is not mandatory unless specifically directed by the learned Trial Court. The learned Advocates for the respective parties may effectively prosecute the matter even in the absence of the parties.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the prayer for stay of the proceedings before the learned Civil Judge, Senior Division, Daund, stands rejected.

6. Needless to clarify that, in the event the Applicant prefers an application seeking permission to participate in the proceedings through video conferencing before the learned Civil Judge, Senior Division, Daund, the learned Court shall consider such application on its own merits positively.

(ABHAY J. MANTRI, J.)