



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**929 FIRST APPEAL NO. 3064 OF 2015**

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, IRRIGATION PROJECT, STRENGTHENING DIVISION,  
OMERGA THR MKVDC PUNE AND OTHERS

VERSUS

SUBHADRABAI MANJU SHINDE AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Rahul Tambe, Advocate for Appellants

Mr. S. S. Dande, Advocate for Respondent-State

Mr. P. S. Chavan, Advocate for Respondent Nos.5 to 8, 9A to 9C, 10 and 11

Mr. Dinesh Manwatkar, Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 and 4

Mr. Ashok Shinde, Advocate for Respondent No.3

...

**WITH**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 802 OF 2023  
IN X-OBJST/15530/2021**

MAINABAI NAGNATH MALI

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

**WITH**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 803 OF 2023  
IN X-OBJST/15532/2021**

NAGNATH BABU MALI

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

**WITH**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10166 OF 2016  
IN FA/3064/2015**

ANITA HARISH TAPASE AND ORS

VERSUS

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, IRRIGATION PROJECT, STRENGTHENING DIVISION,  
OMERGA THR MKVDC PUNE AND OTHERS

**WITH**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5412 OF 2023  
IN X-OBJST/24736/2021**

DHANANJAY GAJENDRA KASHID

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE COLLECTOR, OSMANABAD AND ORS

**WITH  
FIRST APPEAL NO. 269 OF 2016**

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT REINFORCEMENT  
DEPARTMENT OMERGA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

**WITH  
FIRST APPEAL NO. 268 OF 2016**

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT REINFORCEMENT  
DEPARTMENT OMERGA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

**WITH  
FIRST APPEAL NO. 3490 OF 2015**

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT REINFORCEMENT  
DEPARTMENT OMERGA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

**WITH  
FIRST APPEAL NO. 3491 OF 2015**

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT REINFORCEMENT  
DEPARTMENT, OMERGA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

**WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5411 OF 2023  
IN X-OBJST/24739/2021**

DAJI GAJENDRA KASHID THR GPA SUNITA D. KASHID

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE COLLECTOR, OSMANABAD AND ORS

**WITH  
FIRST APPEAL NO. 38 OF 2016**

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT REINFORCEMENT  
DEPARTMENT OMERGA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

**WITH  
FIRST APPEAL NO. 37 OF 2016**

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT REINFORCEMENT  
DEPARTMENT OMERGA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

**WITH**

**FIRST APPEAL NO. 265 OF 2016**

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT REINFORCEMENT  
DEPARTMENT OMERGA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

**WITH**

**FIRST APPEAL NO. 39 OF 2016**

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT REINFORCEMENT  
DEPARTMENT OMERGA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

**WITH**

**FIRST APPEAL NO. 35 OF 2016**

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT REINFORCEMENT  
DEPARTMENT OMERGA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

**WITH**

**FIRST APPEAL NO. 36 OF 2016**

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT REINFORCEMENT  
DEPARTMENT OMERGA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

**WITH**

**FIRST APPEAL NO. 267 OF 2016**

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT REINFORCEMENT  
DEPARTMENT OMERGA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

**WITH**

**FIRST APPEAL NO. 3488 OF 2015**

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT REINFORCEMENT  
DEPARTMENT OMERGA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

**WITH**

**FIRST APPEAL NO. 3489 OF 2015**

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION PROJECT REINFORCEMENT  
DEPARTMENT OMERGA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

**WITH**

**FIRST APPEAL NO. 2522 OF 2017**

THE EX. ENGINEER, IRRIGATION PROJECT, STRENGTHENING DIV. OMERGA  
AND ORS

VERSUS

DNAYANOBA@DNAYANDEV BALBHIM JATKAR

**WITH**

**FIRST APPEAL NO. 2523 OF 2017**

THE EX. ENGINEER, IRRIGATION PROJECT, STRENGTHENING DIV. OMERGA  
AND ORS

VERSUS

SHAMRAO MANIK JOSHI

**WITH**

**FIRST APPEAL NO. 2525 OF 2017**

THE EX. ENGINEER, IRRIGATION PROJECT, STRENGTHENING DIV. OMERGA  
AND ORS

VERSUS

VITTHAL MARUTI MALI AND ANR

**WITH**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5234 OF 2022  
IN X-OBJST/15382/2021**

PRABHAKAR TATYABA JADHAV

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR, OSMANABAD  
AND OTHERS

**WITH**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5411 OF 2022  
IN X-OBJST/15386/2021**

SHRIMANT TATYA SHINDE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE COLLECTOR, OSMANABAD  
AND OTHERS

WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 801 OF 2023  
IN X-OBJST/15527/2021  
ALKA NAGNATH MALI  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

CORAM : AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.

DATE : 17.10.2025

**PER COURT :-**

1. In furtherance of the orders passed by this Court, today respondent nos. 2 to 4 are present personally before the Court.
2. It appears that respondent nos. 2 and 4 were taken into custody and subsequently released upon executing a PR Bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- each. The record further indicates that respondent no. 3 was not taken into custody on the last occasion; however, he was personally present before the Court, and this Court had appraised and explained the proceedings to him in Marathi.
3. The issue in the present contempt petition is that, despite directions issued by this Court to respondent nos. 2 to 4 to redeposit the amount withdrawn by them by suppressing a vital fact regarding the existence of other stakeholders in the compensation they have not redeposited the said amount in this Court till date. Even today upon being questioned the respondents expressed their inability to redeposit the amount citing lack of financial capacity at present.

4. On the previous occasion, respondent no. 3 had sought time to engage an Advocate. Today, he submits that he is still unrepresented and does not wish to engage any Advocate on his own. He states that he approached the Legal Aid Committee of this Court, which issued a letter to Advocate Mr. P. V. Tapse Patil to represent him.

5. List this matter for further consideration on 18.11.2025. If respondent no. 3 remains unrepresented or fails to appoint an Advocate by the said date, the Court shall proceed to pass appropriate orders considering that contempt has already been recorded and the directions of this Court are not complied with.

6. In the meantime, the respondents shall file affidavits disclosing their assets, including all immovable properties.

7. At this stage, respondent no. 2 makes a statement that he shall deposit an amount of Rs. 8,00,000/- in this Court. The office is directed to accept the said amount from respondent no. 2, if deposited.

8. Parties to act upon an authenticated copy of this order.

**[AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.]**