



-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

921 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8231 OF 2011
IN/WITH
FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 14334 OF 2011
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8232 OF 2011

The State of Maharashtra and another
VERSUS
Suresh Dinanath Sali and others

...
A.G.P. for Applicants : Mr. S.B. Jadhav

.....
AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8229 OF 2011
IN/WITH
FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 14337 OF 2011
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8230 OF 2011

The State of Maharashtra and another
VERSUS
Shivdas Natthu Patil (died) Lrs Ramesh Natthu Patil

...
A.G.P. for Applicants : Mr. S.B. Jadhav
Advocate for Respondents : Mr. A.B. Kale

.....
AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8233 OF 2011
IN/WITH
FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO.14331 OF 2011
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8234 OF 2011

The State of Maharashtra and another
VERSUS
Sitaram Vitthal Patil

...
A.G.P. for Applicant : Mr. S.B. Jadhav

.....

CORAM : SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.
DATED : 6th MAY, 2026



PER COURT :-

1. The civil application Nos. 8231 of 2011, 8233 of 2011 and 8229 of 2011 are filed for condonation of delay caused in filing the first appeals. Perused the applications and heard learned A.G.P. for the applicants. Considering the reasons stated in the applications, it appears that the delay caused is not deliberate. Therefore, the applications are allowed and disposed of.

2. In so far as the first appeals are concerned the same are preferred against the judgments and awards passed by the learned Land Reference Court, Jalgaon in the respective L.A.Rs.

3. The learned AGP for the State submits that in view of the policy decision of the State Government as per the Government Resolution dated 03.11.2016 and the corrigendum dated 23.02.2017 issued thereto, the State Government has resolved not to file or contest any appeal where the amount of compensation awarded by the Reference Court is within four times of the amount awarded by the SLAO. He submits that the present first appeals deserve to be disposed of in view of the aforesaid policy decision of the State Government, as the amount of compensation awarded by the Reference Court is within four times of the amount awarded by the SLAO.



4. Considering the submission of the learned AGP as well as the policy decision of the State Government, the appeals deserve to be disposed of. The First Appeals are disposed of accordingly.

5. In so far as civil application Nos. 8232 of 2011, 8234 of 2011 and 8230 of 2011 are concerned, the same are preferred for grant of stay to the execution of the impugned judgments and awards passed by the learned Reference Court. However, in view of disposal of first appeals, nothing further survives for consideration in the said civil applications and the same are disposed of as infructuous.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

rlj/