



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4194 OF 2025

1. VAISHNAVI SARVADEEP PUDALWAD
2. AKSHAYA SARVADEEP PUDALWAD
3. ADITYA SARVADEEP PUDALWAD

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
ITS SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Ms. P.S. Talekar i/b.

M/s. Talekar And Associates

AGP for Respondents: Ms. Chaitali Chaudhari Kutti

Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. Anil M. Gaikwad

...

CORAM : **SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE &
ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, JJ.**

DATE : **04.05.2026**

PER COURT (ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, J.) :

1. Heard.
2. The petitioner No.1 claims to have been selected for the post of Junior Clerk by respondent No.3. Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that due to invalidation of the tribe claim of the petitioner No.1 the respondent No.3 is not issuing appointment order though he has been selected. She therefore urge that the respondent No.3 may be directed to issue provisional appointment

order or in alternative respondent No.3 be directed not to fill up the post to which the petitioner No.1 has been selected.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent No.3 has placed on record the communication dated 16.02.2026, wherein, a decision has been taken that the post to which the petitioner No.1 has been selected would be kept vacant for a period of one year. The said communication is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.

4. Since respondent No.3 has decided to keep one post vacant of junior clerk for a period of one (1) year (from date of publication of the order i.e. 05.12.2025 till 05.12.2026), we adjourn this matter for further consideration to 10.06.2026.

(ABASAHEB D. SHINDE, J.)

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)