



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

17 WRIT PETITION NO. 3863 OF 2022

SHANKAR MAHADA KARDILE DIED THR HIS LRS PARVATABAI
SHANKAR KARDILE
VERSUS
NARAYAN PREMRAJ CHORBELE AND OTHERS

...

Mr. N. L. Jadhav, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. R. B. Dhaware, AGP for Respondent-State.
Mr. Yuvraj V. Kakde, Advocate for Respondent Nos.4, 6d, 8, 7b and 7c.
Mr. Yuvraj V. Kakde, Advocate for Respondent Nos.1, 3, 5, 6a to 6c to
14.
Mr. Yuvraj Kakade, Advocate for Respondent Nos.4a and 4b.
Mr. Y. V. Kakade, Advocate for Respondent Nos.12.

...

CORAM : SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.

DATE : 16th FEBRUARY, 2026

P.C.:-

1. On 09.02.2026, this Court passed the following order:-

“1. After hearing the matter for some time, a dispute was raised by Mr. Kakade, learned Advocate for the Respondents, in respect of the certificate placed at page Nos.46 and 47.

2. He submits that the original certificate as well as the certificate at page No.47, was not placed by the Petitioner before any authority and that, for the first time, the said record has been produced before this Court.

3. Learned Advocate for the Petitioner relies upon one certificate and tenders the same before this Court for kind perusal. The photocopy of the said certificate is annexed at page No.47.

4. Mr. Yuvraj Kakade, learned Advocate raises an objection contending that the certificate directly tendered before this Court, i.e., the photocopy at page No.47, was also not produced before the concerned authority.

5. In view thereof, learned AGP is directed to call for the



record from the office of the Tahsildar, Ashti, to verify whether the certificates at page Nos.46 and 47 form part of the original record.

6. Learned Advocate for the Petitioner further requests that the record pertaining to the extract of the register, copy of which is placed at page No.48, also be called for and produced before this Court.

7. Learned AGP shall accordingly obtain the original record from the office of the Tahsildar, Ashti.

8. Stand over to 16.02.2026. The matter is part-heard.”

2. Pursuant to the earlier directions, Mr. R. B. Dhaware, learned AGP for the Respondent–State, submits that vide letter dated 11/12.02.2026, communication was addressed to the Deputy Collector, Beed as well as the Tahsildar, Ashti. However, despite the said communication, it appears that the record has not been received till today. Learned AGP further submits that no communication has been received from either of the said officers.

3. In view thereof, the concerned Deputy Collector, Beed and the Tahsildar, Ashti shall remain personally present before this Court on 23.02.2026.

4. Place this matter on 23.02.2026, in the same category.

(SIDDHESHWAR S. THOMBRE, J.)