



(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**919 WRIT PETITION NO. 1594 OF 2025  
WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5393 OF 2026  
IN WP/1594/2025**

**LATE JAYSINGH AMBU KUNJALE THROUGH LRS AND  
OTHERS  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH THE SECRETARY  
AND OTHERS**

**WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO. 849 OF 2008  
WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1268 OF 2008  
IN WP/849/2008  
WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3515 OF 2017  
IN WP/849/2008  
WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5415 OF 2025  
IN WP/849/2008  
WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4740 OF 2008  
IN WP/849/2008**

**AURANGABAD ZILLA PARISHAD AND ORS  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

**WITH  
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 26 OF 2025  
WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 5416 OF 2025  
IN PIL/26/2025  
WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10936 OF 2025  
IN PIL/26/2025**

(2)

**WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2563 OF 2026  
IN PIL/26/2025**

**WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3139 OF 2015  
IN PIL/26/2025**

**WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3514 OF 2017  
IN PIL/26/2025**

VINOD NARAYANRAO PATIL AND ANR  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

**WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO. 2967 OF 2008  
WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2932 OF 2017  
IN WP/2967/2008**

**WITH  
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 15390 OF 2013  
IN WP/2967/2008**

BALASAHEB KISAN KUNJALE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

.....

Mr M. M. Bhokarikar, Advocate for Petitioners  
Mrs Kalpalata Patil Bharaswadkar, Addl.GP for Respondents/State  
Mr Swanand H. Lathkar, Advocate h/f Mr S. P. Shah, Advocate for  
Petitioner in WP/849/2008  
Mr S. A. Nagarsoge, Advocate for Respondent Nos.12.1 to 12.8 in  
WP/1594/2025

.....

**CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT  
AND  
SUSHIL M. GHODESWAR, JJ.**

**DATE : 06th MAY 2026**

(3)

**PER COURT :-**

1. It is pointed out by learned Advocate Mr Bhokarikar for the petitioners that in Public Interest Litigation No.26/2025, though petitioners are served, they are deliberately not taking steps for prosecuting the petition. This Court finds that, even if the petitioners are not interested in prosecuting the Public Interest Litigation, this Court can hear the Public Interest Litigation with the assistance of Advocate to be appointed by this Court for them.

2. In view of above, this Court requested learned Advocate Mr Dighe, who is present in the Court, to assist this Court by arguing Public Interest Litigation No.26/2005. Learned Advocate graciously accepts the request. Accordingly, learned Advocate Mr Dighe is hereby appointed to appear on behalf of petitioners. Office to supply copies of the Public Interest Litigation and annexures to him within a period of one week.

3. Though Public Interest Litigation is not in a proper format and petitioners have not come forth, this Public Interest Litigation will be considered in the format in which it is filed.

4. Place these matters for further consideration on the board dated 24/06/2026 by way of last chance.

5. Interim relief to continue till then.

**[SUSHIL M. GHODESWAR, J.]****[KISHORE C. SANT, J.]**

sjk