

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

914 PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 21 OF 2024

Syed Saleem Syed Ali

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

...Respondents

.....

Advocate for Petitioner	:	Shri. Sachin S. Deshmukh
AGP for Respdts No.2 & 3 / State	:	Shri. S. S. Dande
Advocate for Respondent No.4	:	Shri. A. R. Gaikwad h/f. Shri. S. S. Thombre
Advocate for Respondent No.5	:	Shri. A. S. Bajaj

.....

**CORAM : NEERAJ P DHOTE, J.
(Vacation Court)**

DATED : 17TH MAY, 2024

PER COURT : -

1. By order dated 21.03.2024 notice was issued to the Respondents, returnable on 16.04.2024. Office note show that liberty was granted to move before Vacation Court on 17.05.2024. The matter is circulated for interim directions.

2. It is submitted by learned Advocate for the Petitioner that by way of interim measure, Respondent No.5 be directed to provide additional loan of electricity, so that the scheme namely "*Amrut Atal Yojana*" would become functional.

3. Following prayers are made in the PIL :

“A) By issuance of continuing writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction in the like nature, respondent authorities be directed to take appropriate measure to ensure that ‘*Amrut Atal Yojana*’ for drinking water to Beed City, is made functional forthwith.

B) By issuance of appropriate writ or order respondent No.5 may be directed to ensure electricity supply to the scheme namely ‘*Amrut Atal Yojana*’, water supply scheme to the Beed City forthwith.

C) By issuance of writ of continuing mandamus respondent authorities be directed to submit periodical progress report in relation to the ‘*Amrut Atal Yojana*’ for Beed City and for that purpose issue necessary directions.

D) Any other suitable and equitable order, which this Hon’ble Court may deem fit and proper, may be passed so as to achieve ends of justice.”

4. The Respondent No.5 has filed its detailed Affidavit-in-reply dated 17.04.2024.

5. There are other Respondents, which are (1) Union of India, through Ministry of Jal Shakti, Department of Drinking Water and Sanitation, National Jal Jeevan Mission (2) The State of Maharashtra, Through the Chief Secretary, Mantralaya, Mumbai, (3) The Principal Secretary, Urban Development Department, Mantralaya Mumbai, and (4) The Chief Officer / Administrator, Municipal Council, Beed, Dist. Beed.

6. Considering the issue involved, the Petition requires hearing by giving opportunity to all the Respondents before passing any orders. Hence, the matter stands adjourned to 10.06.2024 before regular Court.

[NEERAJ P. DHOTE]
JUDGE

GGP