

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

68 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.13172 OF 2020
WITH CA(ST)/13173/2020 IN FAST/13172/2020 WITH
CA(ST)/13174/2020 IN FAST/13172/2020

WITH

70 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5593 OF 2022

WITH

72 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5701 OF 2022
WITH CA(ST)/8032/2022 IN FAST/5701/2022

WITH

73 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5703 OF 2022

WITH

74 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5791 OF 2022

WITH

75 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5833 OF 2022
WITH CA(ST)/5834/2022 IN FAST/5833/2022 WITH
CA(ST)/5835/2022 IN FAST/5833/2022

WITH

76 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5854 OF 2022
WITH CA(ST)/5855/2022 IN FAST/5854/2022 WITH
CA(ST)/5856/2022 IN FAST/5854/2022

WITH

77 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5857 OF 2022
WITH CA(ST)/5858/2022 IN FAST/5857/2022 WITH
CA(ST)/5859/2022 IN FAST/5857/2022

WITH

78 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5866 OF 2022 WITH
CA(ST)/5868/2022 IN FAST/5866/2022 WITH FA(ST)/6147/2022
WITH CA(ST)/6149/2022 IN FAST/6147/2022 WITH
FAST/6150/2022 WITH CA(ST)/6151/2022 IN FA(ST)/6150/2022
WITH FA(ST)/6152/2022 WITH CA(ST)/6153/2022 IN
FAST/6152/2022 WITH FA(ST)/6154/2022 WITH
CA(ST)/6155/2022 IN FAST/6154/2022

WITH

79 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5930 OF 2022
WITH CA(ST)/5931/2022 IN FAST/5930/2022 WITH
FA(ST)/6129/2022 WITH CA(ST)/6130/2022 IN FAST/6129/2022

WITH
 80 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5933 OF 2022 WITH
 CA(ST)/5934/2022 IN FAST/5933/2022 WITH FA(ST)/6212/2022
 WITH CA(ST)/6213/2022 IN FAST/6212/2022
 WITH FA(ST)/6216/2022 WITH CA(ST)/6217/2022 IN
 FAST/6216/2022 WITH FA(ST)/6219/2022 WITH
 CA(ST)/6221/2022 IN FAST/6219/2022
 WITH
 81 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5945 OF 2022
 WITH CA(ST)/5946/2022 IN FAST/5945/2022
 WITH
 82 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5947 OF 2022
 WITH CA(ST)/5949/2022 IN FAST/5947/2022
 WITH
 83 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5951 OF 2022 WITH
 CA(ST)/5952/2022 IN FAST/5951/2022 WITH FA(ST)/6133/2022
 WITH CA(ST)/6135/2022 IN FAST/6133/2022 WITH
 FA(ST)/6137/2022 WITH CA(ST)/6138/2022 IN FAST/6137/2022
 WITH
 84 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5993 OF 2022
 WITH CA(ST)/5994/2022 IN FAST/5993/2022
 WITH
 85 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.6000 OF 2022
 WITH CA(ST)/6001/2022 IN FAST/6000/2022
 WITH
 86 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.6013 OF 2022
 WITH CA(ST)/6014/2022 IN FAST/6013/2022
 WITH
 87 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.6019 OF 2022
 WITH CA(ST)/6020/2022 IN FAST/6019/2022
 WITH
 88 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.6086 OF 2022
 WITH CA(ST)/6087/2022 IN FAST/6086/2022
 WITH
 89 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.6094 OF 2022
 WITH CA(ST)/6095/2022 IN FAST/6094/2022
 WITH
 90 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.6102 OF 2022
 WITH CA(ST)/6104/2022 IN FAST/6102/2022
 WITH

91 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.6131 OF 2022
WITH CA(ST)/6132/2022 IN FAST/6131/2022
WITH
92 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.6134 OF 2022
WITH CA(ST)/6136/2022 IN FAST/6134/2022
WITH
93 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.6139 OF 2022
WITH CA(ST)/6140/2022 IN FAST/6139/2022
WITH
94 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.6227 OF 2022
WITH CA(ST)/9300/2022 IN FAST/6227/2022
WITH
95 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.6237 OF 2022
WITH CA(ST)/6239/2022 IN FAST/6237/2022
WITH
97 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.6364 OF 2022
WITH
98 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.6366 OF 2022
WITH CA(ST)/6367/2022 IN FAST/6366/2022
WITH
99 FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.6426 OF 2022
WITH
101 X-OBJECTION (STAMP) NO.6500 OF 2022
IN FA/1701/2020

...

CORAM: A. L. YAWALKAR
I/c REGISTRAR (JUDL)

DATED: 19/04/2022

1. None present. The above numbered batch of First Appeals with Civil Applications and Cross Objection came to be listed third time on the board of Registrar (Judicial). All the appeals, Civil Applications and cross objection came to be filed in

the year 2022 except First Appeal (Stamp) No.13172 of 2020. However, no steps are taken to remove the office objections.

2. It depicts from the record that all the objections were notified. Thereafter also no steps have been taken. The F.A. St. No. 13172 of 2020 was listed on the board of Registrar (Judicial) for first time and three weeks time was granted. However, again no steps were taken. Consequently, matter was listed for second time, but no steps were taken to remove objections. Despite it, again in the interest of justice, two weeks time was granted. In this way, total five weeks time came to be granted till today. In other matters, for the first time, two weeks time was granted. However, no steps were taken to remove the office objections. Thereafter, matters were listed for the second time on the board of Registrar. Again, one week time was granted. In this way, total three weeks time was granted.

3. To my mind, three weeks time to remove the office objections is sufficient indicator to infer that the appellants are not willing to remove the office objections and proceed with these appeals. In this scenario, it would be just and proper to refuse the

registration instead of keeping it pending unnecessarily.

4. However, instead of passing stringent order outrightly, I am of the considered view to give one more opportunity subject to conditions. In turn, the following order would meet the ends of justice.

ORDER

Two weeks time is granted to remove the office objections. On failure to remove the office objections within two weeks, registration would stand refused automatically without further reference to the Court of Registrar (Judicial).

I/c REGISTRAR (JUDL)