



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

907 WRIT PETITION NO. 2996 OF 2026

Shaikh Parvin Nawaboddin & anotherPetitioners

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & othersRespondents

Mr. R. S. Deshmukh, Senior Counsel along with Mr. Harshal Nandre,
Advocate, instructed by Mr. Ajinkya Reddy, Advocate for the
Petitioners.

Mr. V. M. Kagne, AGP for the State.

Mr. Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

WITH
958 PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 14 OF 2026

Amol Shivaji Thorat & anotherPetitioners

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & othersRespondents
.....

Mr. Shaikh Tarek Mobin H., Advocate for the Petitioners

Ms. N. B. Kamble, AGP for the State.

CORAM : SMT VIBHA KANKANWADI
& AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, JJ.

DATE : 5th MAY, 2026.

PER COURT :

1. Leave to amend. Amendment to be carried out forthwith.

2. Heard learned Senior Counsel instructed by Mr. Ajinkya Reddy, learned Advocate for the Petitioners in Writ Petition No. 2996/2026. By way of this petition, the Petitioners are challenging the impugned corrigendum dated 04.02.2026, issued by Respondent No. 1, whereby the previously sanctioned development works were cancelled and were allotted under different head.

3. It has been submitted on behalf of the Petitioners that various development works; 4 in number, were sanctioned by the State Government. Infact, those works were under the scheme 'Development works for the Municipal Council'. For the Municipal Council AUSA, these works were sanctioned by Government Resolutions and it was yet to process. However, before the work order could be issued, the corrigendum were issued in respect of all the four works on 04.02.2026. Infact when the amount was sanctioned under the said scheme and was transferred to the Municipal Council, it had become a municipal fund. The Government thereafter had no control over the said amount. Without adhering to the principles of natural justice, abruptly and arbitrarily the corrigendums have been issued. The act of the State Government, therefore, amounts to

stalling the development work which was infact approved by the State Government itself and therefore, the Petitioners are before this Court.

4. In Public Interest Litigation No. 14/2026, the Petitioners are raising the same issue. We have our reservations for Public Interest Litigation to be entertained when the elected representatives are before this Court. However, we are now simply tagging the said matter with this matter.

5. Learned AGP waives notice on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1, 2, 4 and 5. Learned Advocate Mr. Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen waives notice on behalf of Respondent No. 3. They seek accommodation to file affidavit-in-reply.

6. We have made enquiry regarding the amount that was disbursed by the State Government. It is stated that it is with the Municipal Council at present and learned Advocate for Respondent No. 3 confirms the fact that the work orders in respect of these four works are not issued. Taking into consideration the various aspects to be considered by this Court, we direct the parties to maintain status quo in respect of the amount that has been given under those

Government Resolutions for the four development works to the Municipal Council, AUSA, till the next date of hearing.

7. There shall be affidavit-in-reply to be filed by the Respondents on or before 19.06.2026.

8. Place the matters for further consideration on 30.06.2026.

(AJIT B. KADETHANKAR)
JUDGE

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI)
JUDGE

dyb