



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

924 WRIT PETITION NO. 3037 OF 2026

YUSUF KHAN HANEEF KHAN

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Quadri Syed Raheel, Advocate h/f Mr. S.S. Kazi, Advocate for petitioner
Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, AGP for respondent Nos.1 to 4

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
NEERAJ P. DHOTE, JJ.

DATE : 17th APRIL, 2026

ORDER :

. Heard learned Advocate Mr. Quadri Syed Raheel holding for
learned Advocate Mr. S.S. Kazi for petitioner.

2 The petitioner is challenging the order dated 21.01.2026 passed
by respondent No.6 withholding the salary of petitioner and also removing
name of petitioner from *Shalarth ID*. When asked about the letter dated
10.05.2023 and 18.08.2021 of which reference is their in letter dated
21.01.2026, learned Advocate for petitioner is submitting another letter
across the bar which is stated to be dated 06.09.2021. It is taken on record

by marking Exh. 'X'. The petitioner relies on the order passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.9379 of 2023, which appears to have been filed by the educational institution and the said writ petition was decided on 10.05.2024, however, it is to be noted from the order that the said petition was in respect of challenge to the communication dated 04.01.2022. There was no reference or amendment in respect of letter dated 10.05.2023. This Court had then relegated the matter to respondent No.6 for fresh consideration. According to petitioner, then the *Shalarth ID* was given. Now also there is no challenge to the order dated 10.05.2024, therefore, *prima facie* we are of the opinion that the petition is devoid of merits, however, we direct learned AGP to take instructions from respondent No.4, who appears to have given the *Shalarth ID*.

3 Learned AGP waives notice for respondent Nos.1 to 4. Notice of respondent Nos.5 and 6 is made returnable on 06.05.2026.

(NEERAJ P. DHOTE, J.)

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.)