



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

938 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4013 OF 2011
IN FAST/5673/2011
WITH
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO.5673 OF 2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
KESHAR JANJI PATIL

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4014 OF 2011
IN FAST/5673/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
KESHAR JANJI PATIL

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4023 OF 2011
IN FAST/5649/2011
WITH
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 5649 OF 2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
SAVITRIBAI TAPIRAM PATIL

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4024 OF 2011
IN FAST/5649/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
SAVITRIBAI TAPIRAM PATIL

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4027 OF 2011
IN FAST/5658/2011



WITH
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 5658 OF 2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
VINAYAK NAMDEV PATIL AND ANOTHER

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4028 OF 2011
IN FAST/5658/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
VINAYAK NAMDEV PATIL AND ANOTHER

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4029 OF 2011
IN FAST/5684/2011

WITH
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 5684 OF 2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
ANANDA VITHOBA BAVISKAR, DIED, THR. L.RS.
SMT. KASTURABAI ANANDA BAVISKAR

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4030 OF 2011
IN FAST/5684/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
ANANDA VITHOBA BAVISKAR, DIED, THR. L.RS.
SMT. KASTURABAI ANANDA BAVISKAR

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4015 OF 2011
IN FAST/5695/2011
WITH
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 5695 OF 2011



THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
ARJUN RAMDAS CHAUDHARI

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4016 OF 2011
IN FAST/5695/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
ARJUN RAMDAS CHAUDHARI

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4017 OF 2011
IN FAST/5665/2011

WITH
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 5665 OF 2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
PUNDALIK RAMKRISHNA SHINDE AND OTHERS

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4018 OF 2011
IN FAST/5665/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
PUNDALIK RAMKRISHNA SHINDE AND OTHERS

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4021 OF 2011
IN FAST/5661/2011

WITH
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 5661 OF 2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
ASHOK MOTIRAM PATIL



WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4022 OF 2011
IN FAST/5661/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
ASHOK MOTIRAM PATIL

AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4025 OF 2011
IN FAST/5679/2011

WITH
FIRST APPEAL (STAMP) NO. 5679 OF 2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
KAUTIK MADHAV DHOBI

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4026 OF 2011
IN FAST/5679/2011

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA,
THROUGH COLLECTOR, JALGAON AND OTHERS
VERSUS
KAUTIK MADHAV DHOBI

...
Mr. S. B. Jadhav, AGP for Applicant / State.

Ms. Sakshi Kale, h/f Mr. A. B. Kale, Advocate for Claimants

...

CORAM : **SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.**

DATE : 05th May, 2026.

Per Court:

1 Heard the learned counsel for the parties. Perused the applications and the appeals.



Applications for condonation of delay:

2 These applications are filed for condonation of delay of 292 and 304 days caused in filing the first appeals.

3 For the reasons stated in the applications, the delay stands condoned. The applications stand allowed in the interest of justice.

First Appeals :

4 Issue notice to the respondents. Advocate Ms. Sakshi Kale, holding for Mr. A. B. Kale, learned counsel waives notice on behalf of claimants.

5 The claimants' lands situated at village Chinchkheda (Bk.), Taluka Jamner, District Jalgaon, were acquired on account of submergence of area under Waghur Dam.

6 The learned AGP for the State submitted that the compensation is not properly determined by the learned Reference Court and a huge amount of compensation is awarded to the claimants. The evidence was not properly appreciated. It is lastly prayed to allow the appeals.

7 The learned Advocate for the claimants pointed out the



judgment of this Court dated 19th April, 2017, passed in First Appeal (Stamp) No.13664 of 2017 and other connected appeals filed by the present claimants, by which the compensation for the acquired houses and open plots were enhanced by this Court, on the basis of the common judgment passed by this Court dated 21st October, 2015, passed in First Appeal No.2745 of 2015 and other connected appeals. She therefore, prayed to dismiss the appeals.

8 On perusal of the record and proceedings alongwith the judgment of this Court in First Appeal (Stamp) No.13664 of 2017 (supra) filed by the present claimants, it is crystal clear that the claimants have got enhanced amount of compensation on the basis of two judgments. This Court, by its earlier judgment, has already determined the just and reasonable compensation for the acquired properties of the claimants, by taking into consideration the evidence etc. Therefore, this Court is of the view that, there is no substance in the grounds of objections in these appeals filed by the State. The appeals therefore, deserve to be dismissed. The first appeals are dismissed. No order as to costs.

9 Pending civil applications, if any, are disposed of.

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.]