



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

903 PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.29 OF 2016

Ashok Vyankati Pampatwar  
*VERSUS*  
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

...  
Mr. S. V. Chandole, Advocate for the Petitioner. (Absent)  
Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondents/State.  
...

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &  
AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, JJ.**

**DATE : 05 MAY 2026**

**ORDER :**

1. Learned Advocate for the petitioner is absent. It can be seen from the record that after 11.02.2022, the matter was never got circulated.

2. Present petition has been filed for directing the respondent/State to implement the resettlement of village Bomnali, Taluka Mukhed, District Nanded as per Government Resolution dated 01.08.1999 and 02.06.2006. It was further prayed that the order dated 05.03.2011 issued by respondent No.1 rejecting the proposal sent by Collector, Nanded on 24.08.2009 and forwarded by Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad on 25.09.2009 to the Government for resettlement of the village be set aside and the government be directed to allow the said proposal.



3. Affidavit-in-reply has been filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 by Mr. Suresh s/o Mannalalji Kakani, the then Collector, District Nanded, whereby in his affidavit he has stated that there is already the implementation of the Government Resolutions and the village has been resettled. Therefore, taking into consideration the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the State, we are of the opinion that the petition has become infructuous and, therefore, the public interest litigation stands disposed of.

[ AJIT B. KADETHANKAR ]  
JUDGE

[ SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI ]  
JUDGE

scm