



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**934 CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 70 OF 2026**

**AMOL RAMESH JADHAV**

**VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER**

**WITH**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 945 OF 2025**

**VINAY ALIAS VINAYAK GAJANAN KARNEWAR**

**VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER**

**WITH**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 876 OF 2025**

**ANAND HARIBHAU MANE AND OTHERS**

**VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER**

....

Mr. Prashant P. Giri, Advocate for Appellant in Criminal Appeal Nos.70 of 2026 and 945 of 2025

Ms Susmita V. Dound, Advocate for Appellant in Criminal Appeal No.876 of 2025

Mr. D. B. Bhangе, APP for Respondent No.1

Ms Aarti Ghadge, Advocate (Appointed through Legal Aid) for Respondent No.2

....

**CORAM : Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.**

**DATE : March 17, 2026**

**PER COURT :-**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 945 OF 2025 AND**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 876 OF 2025**



1. The learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 / informant seeks two weeks time to file reply.
2. Time granted as prayed for. Interim relief, if any, granted earlier to continue till the next date.
3. Stand over to 02.04.2026.

#### **CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 70 OF 2026**

4. The learned counsel appearing for the appellant canvassed in vehemence and strongly opposed the adjournment on the ground that the present appellant is without protection of ad-interim anticipatory bail and the appellant is belongs to Scheduled Caste / Scheduled Tribe community. Therefore, the offence under Section 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(1)(va) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, is made out against him. However, the appellant / accused is moving from one place to another, because he is under apprehension of arrest.
5. Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 have filed independent reply and strongly opposed the application for anticipatory bail.



6. Needless to say that the other Criminal Appeal No.876 of 2025 and Criminal Appeal No.945 of 2025 arising out of one and the same F.I.R., are already adjourned to 02.04.2026. Therefore, the learned counsel appearing for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 also made a request for adjourning this matter for the same day on which other matters are listed.

7. In view of above, the adjournment is granted.

8. Stand over to 02.04.2026.

**[ Y. G. KHOBRADE, J. ]**

SMS