



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

910 WRIT PETITION NO. 1002 OF 2010

BALASAHEB VISHWANATH INZE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7569 OF 2010
IN WP/6659/2008

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8636 OF 2009
IN WP/6659/2008

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6659 OF 2008

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10893 OF 2009
IN WP/6659/2008

...
Advocate for petitioner in WP/1002/2010 : Mr. Rahul Pawar h/f.
Mr. R.R. Nangare
AGP for the respondent – State : Mr. V.M. Chate
Advocate for respondent no. 4 : Mr. R.B. Narwade (WP/1002/2010)
Mr. S.S. Rathi, Advocate for respondent no. 5 (WP/1002/2010) and for
petitioner in WP/6659/2008

...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.**

DATE : 13 AUGUST 2024

PC :

Learned advocate for the petitioners in writ petition no. 1002 of 2010 submits that it is a matter of absorption of the petitioners in the light of rule 25 of the Maharashtra Employees of Private Schools (Conditions of Service) Rules, 1981.

2. He points out that by communication dated 24-01-2023, the Deputy Commissioner of Handicapped Welfare, Pune had informed the petitioners that necessary steps would be taken by collecting the information from the District office regarding their absorption. He submits that nothing thereafter has transpired.

3. Learned AGP would take written instructions from the Deputy Commissioner, as to the steps being taken by him post this communication dated 24-01-2023.

4. Stand over to 28 August 2024 in same category.

5. Learned advocate Mr. Rathi who appears for petitioners in writ petition no. 6659 of 2008 seeks discharge. Learned advocate also submits that even in writ petition no. 1002 of 2010, he is appearing for respondent no. 5 and is seeking discharge. Office to verify compliance with Rules, if there is compliance, Mr. S.S. Rathi shall stand discharged.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME]
JUDGE

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

arp/