

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4928 OF 1999

BAL SHIKSHAN PRASARAK MANDAL EDU.SO.A'BAD & ANR.
VERSUS
NAHID ANJUM MOHD.IBRAHIM AND OTHERS.

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Shri S.R.Barlinge

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: May 09, 2017

...

PER COURT :-

1. None for the respondents.
2. Shri Barlinge, learned counsel for the petitioner / management draws my attention to the order passed by this Court on 3.8.2001, which reads as under:-

“ The petitioners and their Advocates are absent.

2. Heard Shri R.J.Godbole, learned Advocate for the respondent No.1.

3. Rule.

4. Shri Godbole, states that the respondent No. employee is already reinstated in service. In view of this fact, no interim relief. ”

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2
WRIT PETITION NO. 4928 OF 1999

3. He submits that the petitioner was not before the Court when the above statement made on behalf of the employee was recorded. Thereafter, this matter has been called out yesterday and today in the Special Vacation Hearing of this Court. He, therefore, seeks time to take instructions as regards the developments that have taken place in the last 16 years.

4. S.O. 13.7.2017 for a final hearing.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...