

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 744 OF 2007

KACHRUSINGH SANTRAMSINGH RAJPUT
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...
WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1077 OF 2007

RAJESHWAR DAMODHAR MORE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...
Advocates for Petitioner : Mr. R. L. Kute /Mr. Vikram R. Dhorde
AGPs for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 : Mrs. M.A. Deshpande/Mr. V.H.Dighe.
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. A.S. Bajaj.

. . .

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA & A. M. BADAR, JJ.

DATE : 13TH JANUARY, 2016.

PER COURT:

1] The issue about non applicability of Section 11A of the Land Acquisition Act to the acquisitions initiated by virtue of provisions under the Regional Town Planning Act, has already been decided by the Apex Court.

2] Mr. Bajaj, learned counsel submits that erroneously it was stated that declaration under Section 6 is published in the Daily Newspaper Lokmat, however, it was published in Daily Newspaper Ajintha dated 24.9.1998.

3] Counsel for petitioner is absent. In the interest of justice, S.O. to 20th January, 2016.

[A.M. BADAR]
JUDGE

[S.V. GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE.

grt/-