

{1}

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

912 WRIT PETITION NO. 1650 OF 2026

Shankar Shenfadu Salunke

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Principal Secretary And Others

.....

Mr. Paresh B. Patil, Advocate h/f Mr. Hemantrao P. Deshmukh, Advocate
for the Petitioner

Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondents-State

.....

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
HITEN S. VENEGAOKAR, JJ.

DATE : 08 APRIL, 2026

PER COURT :-

1. We had heard the matter on the last occasion and asked the learned Advocate for the petitioner to produce the documents regarding his qualification. Accordingly, those documents have been produced. Now, in view of the communication dated 18.10.2025 issued by the Headmaster to the petitioner, wherein it is specifically stated that, taking into consideration the present situation, the said promotion cannot be granted, and in view of the Resolution dated 28.11.2025, wherein Mr. Hivare has raised an objection by stating the specific position, we asked the learned advocate for the petitioner as to on what basis the petitioner claims promotion. He submits that the said post of Trained Graduate Teacher of B.Ed pay scale is still vacant. However, he

{2}

has not produced any document to show the vacancy. When the said fact was pointed out, the learned Advocate for the petitioner again sought accommodation. We deprecate such practice of seeking accommodation when queries are raised; however, the petitioner should not suffer, and therefore, we adjourn the matter subject to costs of Rs.2,000/- which is to be deposited with the Legal Aid Services Sub-Committee, High Court, Aurangabad, within a period of one (1) week from today.

2. The petitioner is at liberty to file any document/documents along with an additional affidavit within a period of three (3) weeks from today. The said time shall not be extended on any count.
3. Place the matter for further consideration on 05.05.2026.

[HITEN S. VENEGAVKAR]
JUDGE

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

S P Rane